



International Journal of Autism

E-ISSN: 2710-3927
P-ISSN: 2710-3919
IJRSE 2022; 2(1): 11-13
© 2022 IJA
www.rehabilitationjournals.com
Received: 05-01-2022
Accepted: 08-02-2022

Dr. Behzad Maqbool
Associate Professor,
Amity University, Noida,
Uttar Pradesh, India

Seema Saleem
Assistant Professor,
Amity University, Noida,
Uttar Pradesh, India

Durgesh Kumar
Assistant Professor,
Sanskrit University Mathura,
Uttar Pradesh, India

Learning disabilities: Barriers for inclusion

Dr. Behzad Maqbool, Seema Saleem and Durgesh Kumar

Abstract

The current trend in education of children with special needs is inclusive education under which all children should learn together, wherever possible, regardless of any difficulties or differences they may have. Among children with disabilities the children with learning disabilities constitute a separate section. This is because the field is least understood and with dis-agreements over the definition. Thirdly their invisibility due to defects in their assessment, identification and diagnostic processes confounds the provision of appropriate education to students with learning disabilities. With the efforts of Sarva Shiksha Abhiyaan inclusive education was started in India under which a large number of children with different abilities were enrolled in local schools, but the main issue seen was underachievement of children with different abilities including learning disabled children. This paper presents some key barriers in these three major aspects with a view that it would pave the way for improving education for students with learning disabilities in inclusive classrooms.

Keywords: Inclusive education, children with learning disabilities, barriers in inclusion

Introduction

Education of children with disabilities has progressed remarkably in the last two decades in India. The shift from “charity to right” has brought many children with disabilities to educational settings. 86th amendment of constitution of India has ensured Right to Education (Government of India 2002). Biwako Millennium framework (2003) to which India is a signatory aims at inclusive, barrier free and right based society. Inclusive education is an approach to education of children with disabilities that has embedded in it certain key hallmark paradigm shifting ideas. These are the shift from

- (1) Viewing individuals with disability as requiring charity to viewing them as human beings entitled to the rights as any other individual without disability;
- (2) Seeing impairment causing conditions as differences rather than disability;
- (3) Taking ownership to cater to individual differences and render educational services rather than expect children to adjust to a ‘one-size-fits-all’ educational service provision and
- (4) Changes towards positive and open mindedness made in an individual’s attitude causes adaptations in educational services that not only benefit children with disabilities, but also those without disabilities.

However, with the increasing international awareness, declarations and legislations towards ensuring rights of persons with disabilities. In this regard, inclusive education has become a more formal process. It is now written as the most potential strategy to achieve ‘education for all’ (Salamanca Statement, 1994). Nations, including India, who are a signatory to these international declarations promising right to education to children with disabilities to meet the goal of ‘education for all’ have made national policies and schemes to implement inclusive education in their schools. Efforts are ongoing to make inclusive education effective and a reality all over the country. Meaningful inclusion requires both access to all, and participation regardless of their differences, in a facility or service.

Among children with disabilities, the learning disabled constitute a distinct and often invisible section. Due to the 'latency' of the impairment, and the relative expertise needed for identification, the learning disabled often remain unidentified and their needs unaddressed (Karande, 2007). The lack of knowledge about learning disabilities also causes significant academic difficulties for the child. Historically when we look on into 1940th century, it was seen that children having problems in learning were put into three major categories:

Correspondence
Dr. Behzad Maqbool
Associate Professor,
Amity University, Noida,
Uttar Pradesh, India

- (1) Mentally retarded or intellectually disabled.
- (2) Those children who had emotional problems.
- (3) Those children who were socially and culturally disadvantaged.

By the early 1940s, it was recognized that there was a fourth group of children who were having trouble in learning because of the way their nervous systems functioned. Initially, it was thought that the reason this group of children had trouble in functioning was because their brains were damaged. Yet, these children looked normal, so the term applied to this group was Minimal Brain Damage. It was supported by more and more evidences which proved that there is no damage to brain but it was because of faulty neural functioning thus the name changed from damage to dysfunction i.e. minimal brain dysfunction. Minimal Brain Dysfunction referred to a group of problems often found together, where the child had trouble in learning because of the way his or her nervous system is operated. Secondly, many children in this group were hyperactive and/or distractible. Third, many of them had emotional, social, and family problems. (National Institutes of Health United States, 1960s).

Research in the area of learning disability (LD) in India began only recently (Ramaa, 2000) ^[4]. Students associated with learning disabilities have experienced academic problems for a long time, but those problems were ignored in the crowded classrooms (Karanth, 1998). The study of learning disability is gradually gaining momentum as more and more students are experiencing problems in academic and non-academic areas. Current literature indicates that 10-14% of the 416 million children in India have learning disabilities (Krishnan, 2007; Krishna Kumar, 1999; Mehta, 2003) ^[2, 1] making it the most widespread disability (Suresh & Sebastian, 2003; Tandon, 2007) ^[5]. It is estimated that India has five students with learning disabilities in every average-sized class (Thomas, Bhanutej, & John, 2003) ^[6]. Dyslexia is the most common and most carefully studied of the specific learning disabilities, affecting 80% of all those identified as learning disabled (Karande, Sawant, Kulkarni, Galvankar, & Sholapurwala, (2005) ^[1]. According to a study conducted in South India, the incidence of dyscalculia was reported to encompass 6% of all school-aged children (Ramaa & Gowamma (2002) ^[4]. Along with learning disability, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is also found to be prevalent (Crawford, 2007). Compounding the issue of prevalence is limited awareness of learning disability among parents, teachers, and educational administrators, and the lack of teacher training in this area.

Current issues and barriers in the field of learning disability

1. Crowded classrooms with a large number of students, that teacher are not able to focus on every student.
2. Disobedience to a consistent definition of learning disability that stresses its lifelong nature.
3. Lack of teacher training awareness programs in the field and insufficient competent professionals working in the field.
4. A common myth that underachievement is similar to specific learning disability.
5. Lack of assessment and diagnostic tools for learning disability.
6. Preference of parents to label their children as mentally

retarded/ intellectually disabled in spite of learning disabled for the certification purpose to access reservation quota.

7. Lack of cooperation between professionals working as multidisciplinary team for the child.
8. Lack of awareness among parents, teachers and school administrators about learning disability, most often children are assessed and understood wrongly.
9. Lack of research development in the field of learning disability.

Recommendations

According to "National Joint Committee on Learning Disabilities" (NJCLD) in the field of learning disabilities inappropriate diagnostic practices and procedures have contributed to misclassification of individuals and questionable incidence rates of learning disabilities due to so many reasons. The committee emphasizes the importance of integrating assessment, diagnosis, and procedures that lead to a diagnosis of learning disability and eligibility for services. Policymakers, educational administrators, regular and special educators, related services personnel, parents, advocates, and others who identify, assess, diagnose, and provide services to people with learning disabilities should find it relevant.

1. Learning disabilities are manifested differently over time, in severity and in various settings. Learning disabilities, like other handicapping conditions, vary in their manifestations and are mild, moderate, or severe. Appropriate procedures must be used from early childhood through adulthood to assess and identify individuals suspected of having learning disabilities. Procedures vary with different age groups.
2. Differential Diagnosis is necessary to distinguish between and among other disorders, syndromes, and factors that can interfere with the acquisition and use of listening, speaking, reading, writing, reasoning, or mathematical abilities.
3. Comprehensive assessment including a variety of activities like gathering history, observations, interviews etc. and procedures intended to ensure a comprehensive set of data for determining an individual's status and needs. The procedures used to assess learning disabilities should address the presenting problems and determine levels of performance in the following domains: motor, sensory, cognitive, communication, and behavior. When a learning disability is suspected, the following areas should be assessed: listening, speaking, reading, writing, reasoning, mathematics, and social skills.
4. Crowded class rooms should be divided into sections to reduce the number of students, on the basis of assessment. If the children are diagnosed as learning disabled proper assistance and support must be provided through a special educator. A resource room must be available for the promotion of intervention of these students.
5. Appointment of special educators and in-service training programs for regular general teachers.
6. Awareness programs for parents on large scale must be conducted by the school authorities on the concerned disability, there should be meetings between the parents, teachers and the professionals working as a multidisciplinary team.

7. Research in the field is utmost important to prepare the relevant assessment tools. The Standardized tests used must be reliable, valid, and have current normative data.

Conclusion

For an inclusive classroom which is fixed on the idea that every child regardless of disability must be treated commonly with respect to teaching strategies, curriculum content, participation etc. the idea of inclusion is great when we talk about social inclusion of children with different abilities but at the same time when we focus on the educational inclusion of children with different abilities we are coming across through a big problem i.e. individual difference which we are trying to ignore. Same is the case for learning disabled children which needs more attention, support and differential instruction. In reality it is not possible for a teacher to impart instruction in a common classroom using differential instructions within a fixed period of time and at a time to support the different needs of differently abled. These children are special in nature and must be treated specially. We should not ignore their needs for the name sake of inclusive education; if they are to be seated in an inclusive education set up they should get there every benefit there like resource room intervention, need based assessment, relevant testing, facilities and other required services.

References

1. Karande S, Mehta V, Kulkarni M. Impact of an education program on parental knowledge of specific learning disability. *Indian journal of Med Science.* 2007;61:398-406.
2. Krishnan B. Effective special needs education. *Education World.* Retrieved, 2017 March 12 from www.educationworldonline.net/index.php/page-article-choice-more-id-834
3. National Joint Committee on Learning Disabilities. *Learning disabilities: Issues in the preparation of professional personnel.* *Asha.* 1985;27(9):49-51.
4. Ramaa S. Two decades of research on learning disabilities in India. *Dyslexia.* 2000;6(4):268-283.
5. Suresh P, Sebastian S. Epidemiological and neurological aspects of learning disabilities. In Karanth & Rozario (Eds.), *Learning disabilities in India: Willing the mind to learn.* New Delhi: Sage Publications, 2003, 30-43pp.
6. Thomas S, Bhanutej K, John S. Dealing with Dyslexia. *The Week,* 21, 36-42. Retrieved, 2017 March 12 from <http://www.jackwhitehead.com/rawalpdf/Ref.pdf>